



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,122	09/08/2003	Wei-Chih Chang	4006-265	8657	
22429	7590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
	PTMAN GILMAN A	WANG, GEORGE Y			
1700 DIAGO SUITE 300 /3	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	IA, VA 22314	2871			

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/656,12	2	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		George Y.		2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statu- iod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time fory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status					•			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is no	n-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Page Other:		D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of forming an LCD, classified in class
 349, subclass 187.
 - II. Claims 14-19, drawn to an LCD device, classified in class 349, subclass123.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case Invention I comprises two methods of forming an LCD device that is not specific to that of Invention II. Invention II provides an LCD device that can be formed by a number different methods that may include, but certainly not limited to rubbing, UV radiation, chemical vapor deposition, and etching.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,122 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

3. If Group I is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (1) the specifics of the method for forming a different twist angle in an LCD comprising the steps of applying UV light having a first and second polarized direction comprising a first embodiment corresponding to claims 1-7;
- (2) the specifics of the method for forming a different twist angle in an LCD comprising the steps of applying a first and second rubbing force comprising a second embodiment corresponding to claims 8-13.
- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,122

Art Unit: 2871

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-2304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gw

January 27, 2005

ARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4